arXiv:math/0511313v1 [math.GM] 11 Nov 2005

TOWARDS COMMUTATOR THEORY FOR RELATIONS. III

PAOLO LIPPARINI

Abstract. We derive consequences from the existence of a term which satisfies Mal'cev identities (characterizing permutability) modulo two functions F and G from admissible relations to admissible relations. We also provide characterizations of varieties having a Mal'cev term modulo F and G.

Given an algebra $\mathbf{A}$, let $\mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$ denote the set of all reflexive and admissible relations on $\mathbf{A}$ (we shall use the words "admissible" and "compatible" interchangeably).

If $\mathbf{A}$ is an algebra, and $F : \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$, $G : \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$, we say that a ternary term t of $\mathbf{A}$ is *Mal'cev modulo F and G* if and only if

$$aF(R)t(a,b,b) \qquad \text{and} \qquad t(a,a,b)G(R)b,$$

whenever $a, b \in \mathbf{A}$, $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$, and aRb. An alternative name for the above notion is a *weak difference term modulo F and G*, or simply an *F-G-difference term*: we used this terminology in [L1, p. 199], in the case when $F : \mathrm{Con}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Con}(\mathbf{A})$, $G : \mathrm{Con}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Con}(\mathbf{A})$.

For a relation R on some algebra, let R° denote the smallest tolerance containing R, and let R^- denote the converse of R. R^* is the transitive closure of R, and $Cg(R)$ is the smallest congruence containing R. $\overline{R}$ denotes the least compatible relation containing R. Notice that if R and S are compatible, then $R \circ S$ is compatible, too. Intersection is denoted by juxtaposition. $R \circ_n S$ is $R \circ S \circ R \circ S \dots$ with $n-1$ occurrences of $\circ$. $R^n = R \circ_n R$. By convention, we put $R^0 = 0$, where 0 denotes the identity relation (the smallest reflexive relation). $R + S = \bigcup_n R \circ_n S$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{A}$ has a term Mal'cev modulo F and G. Then for all reflexive admissible relations $R, S, R_1, R_2, \dots \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$, and for arbitrary relations $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \subseteq \mathbf{A}^2$, the following hold:*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 08A30; Secondary 08B05.

Key words and phrases. Commutator, congruence, tolerance, relation; (weak) difference term, term Mal'cev modulo two functions.

The author has received support from MPI and GNSAGA.

(i) If $aRb\theta_1 c$, $a\theta_2 dSc$, and $b\theta d$ (that is, the situation pictured in the following diagram occurs):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & b & & \\ & R\nearrow & \big| & \searrow\theta_1 & \\ a & & \big|\theta & & c \\ & \theta_2\searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow S & \\ & & d & & \end{array}$$

then $(a,c) \in F(R) \circ \overline{\theta_2 \cup \theta \cup \theta_1} \circ G(S)$.

(ii) $R \circ \theta \circ S \subseteq F(R) \circ \overline{(R \circ \theta) \cup (\theta \circ S)} \circ G(S)$.

(iii) $R \circ S \subseteq F(R) \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ G(S) \subseteq F(R) \circ S \circ R \circ G(S)$.

(iv) $R \circ_{n+2} S \subseteq F(R) \circ \Big(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\Big)^n \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \Big(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\Big)^n \circ G(S^\bullet)$, *for every* $n \geq 0$, *where* $S^\bullet = S$ *if* n *is even, and* $S^\bullet = R$ *if* n *is odd.*

(v) $R + S \subseteq (F(R) + F(S)) \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ (G(R) + G(S)) \subseteq (F(R) + F(S)) \circ R \circ S \circ (G(R) + G(S))$.

(vi) $R \circ R \subseteq F(R) \circ R \circ G(R)$.

(vii) $R^{n+1} \subseteq (F(R))^n \circ R \circ (G(R))^n$, *for every* $n \geq 0$.

(viii) $R^* \subseteq (F(R))^* \circ R \circ (G(R))^*$.

(ix) $R^- \subseteq F(R^-) \circ R \circ G(R^-)$; *and* $R \subseteq F(R) \circ R^- \circ G(R)$.

(x) $R + S^- \subseteq (F(R) + F(S^-)) \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ (G(R) + G(S^-)) \subseteq (F(R) + F(S^-)) \circ R \circ S \circ (G(R) + G(S^-))$.

(xi) $Cg(R) \subseteq (F(R) + F(R^-)) \circ R \circ (G(R) + G(R^-))$.

(xii) For $n \geq 2$, $R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \cdots \circ R_n \subseteq$

$$\begin{array}{c} F(R_1) \circ \overline{F(R_1) \cup F(R_2)} \circ \overline{F(R_1) \cup F(R_2) \cup F(R_3)} \circ \\ \cdots \circ \overline{F(R_1) \cup F(R_2) \cup \cdots \cup F(R_{n-2})} \circ \overline{F(R_1) \cup F(R_2) \cup \cdots \cup F(R_{n-1})} \circ \\ \overline{R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_{n-1} \cup R_n} \circ \\ \overline{G(R_2) \cup G(R_3) \cup \cdots \cup G(R_n)} \circ \overline{G(R_3) \cup G(R_4) \cup \cdots \cup G(R_n)} \circ \\ \cdots \circ \overline{G(R_{n-2}) \cup G(R_{n-1}) \cup G(R_n)} \circ \overline{G(R_{n-1}) \cup G(R_n)} \circ G(R_n) \end{array}$$

(xiii) $R_1 + R_2 + \cdots + R_n \subseteq \big(F(R_1) + F(R_2) + \cdots + F(R_{n-1}) + F(R_n)\big) \circ \overline{R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_{n-1} \cup R_n} \circ \big(G(R_1) + G(R_2) + \cdots + G(R_{n-1}) + G(R_n)\big)$.

(xiv) $Cg(R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_n) \subseteq$

$$\begin{array}{c} \big(F(R_1) + F(R_1^-) + F(R_2) + F(R_2^-) + \cdots + F(R_n) + F(R_n^-)\big) \circ \\ \overline{R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_{n-1} \cup R_n} \circ \\ \big(G(R_1) + G(R_1^-) + G(R_2) + G(R_2^-) + \cdots + G(R_n) + G(R_n^-)\big) \end{array}$$

Proof. (i) $aF(R)t(a,b,b)\overline{\theta_2 \cup \theta \cup \theta_1}t(d,d,c)G(S)c$.

(ii) If $aRb\theta dSc$ then $aRb\theta d$ and $b\theta dSc$. Letting $\theta_2 = R \circ \theta$ and $\theta_1 = \theta \circ S$ we get the conclusion from (i), noticing that $\theta \subseteq R \circ \theta$, since R is reflexive.

The first inclusion in (iii) is the particular case $\theta = 0$ of (ii). The second inclusion is trivial, since R and S are reflexive, and $S \circ R$ is compatible.

(iv) is proved by induction on n. The base $n = 0$ is given by (iii).

Suppose that (iv) holds for some n, and that $(a,c) \in R \circ_{n+3} S$. This means that there are b, d such that $aRb(S \circ_{n+1} R)dR^\bullet c$, where $R^\bullet = R$ if n is even, and $R^\bullet = S$ if n is odd. This implies $a(R \circ_{n+2} S)d$, and $b(S \circ_{n+2} R)c$.

Letting

$$\theta_2 = F(R) \circ \left(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\right)^n \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \left(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\right)^n \circ G(S^\bullet)$$

we get, by the inductive assumption, $R \circ_{n+2} S \subseteq \theta_2$, hence $a\theta_2 d$. Symmetrically, letting

$$\theta_1 = F(S) \circ \left(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\right)^n \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \left(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\right)^n \circ G(R^\bullet)$$

we get $b\theta_1 c$ (notice that $\cup$ is a commutative operation).

Letting $\theta = S \circ_{n+1} R$, we have $b\theta d$. Notice that the inductive assumption also gives $\theta = S \circ_{n+1} R \subseteq R \circ_{n+2} S \subseteq \theta_2$. Thus we get from clause (i) $(a, c) \in F(R) \circ \overline{\theta_2 \cup \theta \cup \theta_1} \circ G(R^\bullet)$, which proves the result for $n + 1$, since

$$\theta_2 \cup \theta \cup \theta_1 \subseteq$$
$$(F(R) \cup F(S)) \circ \left(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\right)^n \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \left(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\right)^n \circ (G(S^\bullet) \cup G(R^\bullet))$$

hence

$$\overline{\theta_2 \cup \theta \cup \theta_1} \subseteq$$
$$\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)} \circ \left(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\right)^n \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \left(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\right)^n \circ \overline{G(R) \cup G(S)} =$$
$$\left(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\right)^{n+1} \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \left(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\right)^{n+1}$$

Indeed, from the above identities, we get

$$R \circ_{n+3} S \subseteq F(R) \circ \left(\overline{F(R) \cup F(S)}\right)^{n+1} \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ \left(\overline{G(R) \cup G(S)}\right)^{n+1} \circ G(R^\bullet)$$

which completes the induction step.

(v) is immediate from (iv).

(vi), (vii) and (viii) are the particular cases $S = R$ of, respectively, (iii), (iv) and (v).

(ix) If aR^-b, that is, bRa, then $aF(R^-)t(a, b, b)Rt(a, a, b)G(R^-)b$. The second formula follows from the first one, applied with R^- in place of R, since $R^{--} = R$.

(x) By (ix) with S in place of R we get $S^- \subseteq F(S^-) \circ S \circ G(S^-)$. Hence, $R \cup S^- \subseteq F(S^-) \circ (R \cup S) \circ G(S^-)$, and $\overline{R \cup S^-} \subseteq F(S^-) \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ G(S^-)$, since $F(S^-)$ and $G(S^-)$ are compatible.

By (v) with S^- in place of S, we get $R + S^- \subseteq (F(R) + F(S^-)) \circ \overline{R \cup S^-} \circ (G(R) + G(S^-)) \subseteq (F(R) + F(S^-)) \circ F(S^-) \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ G(S^-) \circ (G(R) + G(S^-)) = (F(R) + F(S^-)) \circ \overline{R \cup S} \circ (G(R) + G(S^-))$.

(xi) is immediate from (x), since $Cg(R) = R + R^-$.

(xii) is proved by an induction similar to the one used in the proof of (iv).

(xiii) is immediate from (xii).

(xiv) Since $Cg(R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_n) = R_1 + R_1^- + R_2 + R_2^- + \cdots + R_{n-1} + R_{n-1}^- + R_n + R_n^-$, we get, by applying (xiii):

$$\begin{aligned} Cg(R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_n) \subseteq \\ \big(F(R_1) + F(R_1^-) + F(R_2) + F(R_2^-) + \cdots + F(R_n) + F(R_n^-)\big) \circ \\ \overline{R_1 \cup R_1^- \cup R_2 \cup R_2^- \cup \cdots \cup R_{n-1} \cup R_{n-1}^- \cup R_n \cup R_n^-} \circ \\ \big(G(R_1) + G(R_1^-) + G(R_2) + G(R_2^-) + \cdots + G(R_n) + G(R_n^-)\big) \end{aligned}$$

By (ix) we get $R_i^- \subseteq F(R_i^-) \circ R_i \circ G(R_i^-)$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, $R_1 \cup R_1^- \cup R_2 \cup R_2^- \cup \cdots \cup R_{n-1} \cup R_{n-1}^- \cup R_n \cup R_n^- \subseteq \big(F(R_1^-) + F(R_2^-) + \cdots + F(R_{n-1}^-) + F(R_n^-)\big) \circ (R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_{n-1} \cup R_n) \circ \big(G(R_1^-) + G(R_2^-) + \cdots + G(R_{n-1}^-) + G(R_n^-)\big)$. Now (xiv) follows as in the proof of (x). □

Condition (i) in Theorem 1 has led us to the following result. If $F : \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$ let $F^{(2)} : \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$ be defined by $F^{(2)}(R) = F(F(R))$. Thus, in the next Theorem, $F^{(2)} \circ F^{(2)} \circ F^{(2)}$ is the operator F' defined by $F'(R) = F(F(R)) \circ F(F(R)) \circ F(F(R))$, and similarly for $G^{(2)} \circ G^{(2)} \circ G^{(2)}$.

Theorem 2. *If $\mathbf{A}$ has a term Mal′cev modulo F and G then $\mathbf{A}$ has a term Mal′cev modulo $F^{(2)} \circ F^{(2)} \circ F^{(2)}$ and $G^{(2)} \circ G^{(2)} \circ G^{(2)}$.*

We shall also be interested in the case when F and G are defined globally on all algebras of some variety.

If $\mathcal{V}$ is a variety, let us say that F is a *global operator on $\mathcal{V}$ for admissible and reflexive relations* if and only if to any algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ F assigns an operation $F_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$. In case there is no danger of confusion, we shall omit the subscript $\mathbf{A}$.

We say that a global operator on $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies the *homomorphism property* if and only if whenever $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}$, $\phi : \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{A}$ is a homomorphism, and $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{B})$ then $\phi(F_{\mathbf{B}}(R)) \subseteq F_{\mathbf{A}}(\phi(R))$. Here, $\phi(R)$ denotes the smallest compatible and reflexive relation on $\mathbf{A}$ which contains $\{(\phi(b), \phi(c)) | bRc\}$.

As noticed in [L2, Remark 2.5], essentially all commutators defined using matrices satisfy the homomorphism property.

As usual, F is said to be *monotone* if and only if $F(R) \subseteq F(S)$ whenever $R \subseteq S$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is a variety, F, G are global operators on $\mathcal{V}$ for admissible and reflexive relations, F, G are monotone and satisfy the homomorphism property. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{V}$ has a term which is Mal′cev modulo $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{A}}$ for every algebra $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

(ii) Every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ has a term which is Mal′cev modulo $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{A}}$.

(iii) The free algebra $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ generated by 2 elements has a term which is Mal′cev modulo $F_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{X}}$.

(iv) In the free algebra $\mathbf{X}$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$ *generated by the two elements* x, y *there is a ternary term* t *such that, if* S *is the smallest admissible and reflexive relation of* $\mathbf{X}$ *containing* (x, y)*, then*

$$xF_{\mathbf{X}}(S)t(x, y, y) \qquad \text{and} \qquad t(x, x, y)G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)y$$

(v) In every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ *and for every relation* $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$*, the following holds:*

$$R \circ R \subseteq F_{\mathbf{A}}(R) \circ R \circ G_{\mathbf{A}}(R)$$

(vi) In the free algebra $\mathbf{X}$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$ *generated by* 3 *elements the following holds:*

$$R \circ R \subseteq F_{\mathbf{X}}(R) \circ R \circ G_{\mathbf{X}}(R)$$

for every relation $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{X})$*.*

(vii) In the free algebra $\mathbf{X}$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$ *generated by the three elements* x, y, z*, the following holds, where* S *is the smallest admissible and reflexive relation of* $\mathbf{X}$ *containing both* (x, y) *and* (y, z)*:*

$$S \circ S \subseteq F_{\mathbf{X}}(S) \circ S \circ G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$$

(viii) In every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ *and for every relation* $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$*, the following holds:*

$$R \subseteq F_{\mathbf{A}}(R) \circ R^{-} \circ G_{\mathbf{A}}(R)$$

(ix) In the free algebra $\mathbf{X}$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$ *generated by* 2 *elements the following holds:*

$$R \subseteq F_{\mathbf{X}}(R) \circ R^{-} \circ G_{\mathbf{X}}(R),$$

for every relation $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{X})$*.*

(x) In the free algebra $\mathbf{X}$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$ *generated by the two elements* x, y *the following holds, where* S *is the smallest admissible and reflexive relation of* $\mathbf{X}$ *containing* (x, y)*:*

$$S \subseteq F_{\mathbf{X}}(S) \circ S^{-} \circ G_{\mathbf{X}}(S),$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) are trivial.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) We claim that the term t given by (iv) is Mal′cev modulo $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{A}}$, for every algebra $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

Indeed, suppose that $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, $a, b \in \mathbf{A}$, $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$, and aRb.

Since $\mathbf{X}$ is the free algebra in $\mathcal{V}$ generated by $\{x, y\}$, there is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{A}$ such that $\phi(x) = a$ and $\phi(y) = b$, and hence $\phi(t(x, y, y)) = t(a, b, b)$, $\phi(t(x, x, y)) = t(a, a, b)$.

Since $xF_{\mathbf{X}}(S)t(x, y, y)$, we have $\phi(x)\phi(F_{\mathbf{X}}(S))\phi(t(x, y, y))$, hence, by the homomorphism property, $aF_{\mathbf{A}}(\phi(S))t(a, b, b)$.

Since S is the compatible and reflexive relation generated by (x, y), and ϕ is a homomorphism, then $\phi(S)$ is the compatible and reflexive relation generated by $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = (a, b)$, and, since aRb, we have that $\phi(S) \subseteq R$; thus, by the monotonicity of $F_{\mathbf{A}}$, we get $F_{\mathbf{A}}(\phi(S)) \subseteq F_{\mathbf{A}}(R)$ and, eventually, $aF_{\mathbf{A}}(R)t(a, b, b)$.

Exactly in the same way, we get $t(a, a, b)G_{\mathbf{A}}(R)b$, thus t is Mal′cev modulo F and G for every algebra in $\mathcal{V}$.

Having proved that (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i), we have that (i)-(iv) are all equivalent.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v) is from Theorem 1(vi).

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) are trivial.

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $xSySz$, we have $(x,z) \in S \circ S$, hence $(x,z) \in F_{\mathbf{X}}(S) \circ S \circ G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$, by assumption.

This means that $\mathbf{X}$ has terms $t_1(x,y,z)$ and $t_2(x,y,z)$ such that $(x, t_1(x,y,z)) \in F_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$, $(t_1(x,y,z), t_2(x,y,z)) \in S$ and $(t_2(x,y,z), z) \in G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$.

Notice that $S = \{(u(x,y,z,x,y), u(x,y,z,y,z)) | u \text{ a term of } \mathbf{X}\}$, since the right-hand relation is reflexive, admissible, and contains (x,y) and (y,z); moreover, every other reflexive admissible relation containing (x,y) and (y,z) contains all pairs of the form $(u(x,y,z,x,y), u(x,y,z,y,z))$. Hence, $(t_1(x,y,z), t_2(x,y,z)) \in S$ means that there is a 5-ary term t' such that $t_1(x,y,z) = t'(x,y,z,x,y)$ and $t'(x,y,z,y,z) = t_2(x,y,z)$.

We claim that the ternary term $t(x,y,z) = t'(x,y,z,x,z)$ is Mal'cev modulo F and G throughout $\mathcal{V}$. In order to prove it, first notice that $t_1(x,y,y) = t(x,y,y)$, and $t(y,y,z) = t_2(y,y,z)$. Notice that, since $\mathbf{X}$ is a free algebra, the above identities hold throughout $\mathcal{V}$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$, $a, b \in \mathbf{A}$, $R \in \mathrm{Adm}(\mathbf{A})$, and aRb. There is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{A}$ such that $\phi(x) = a$, $\phi(y) = b$, and $\phi(z) = b$, hence $\phi(t_1(x,y,y)) = t_1(a,b,b)$.

Since $xF_{\mathbf{X}}(S)t_1(x,y,z)$, we have $\phi(x)\phi(F_{\mathbf{X}}(S))\phi(t_1(x,y,z))$, hence, by the homomorphism property, $aF_{\mathbf{A}}(\phi(S))t_1(a,b,b)$.

Since S is the compatible and reflexive relation generated by $(x,y), (y,z)$, then $\phi(S)$ is the compatible and reflexive relation generated by $(\phi(x), \phi(y))$, $(\phi(y), \phi(z))$, that is, generated by $(a,b), (b,b)$, hence generated simply by (a,b). Since aRb, we have that $\phi(S) \subseteq R$; thus, by the monotonicity of $F_{\mathbf{A}}$, we get $F_{\mathbf{A}}(\phi(S)) \subseteq F_{\mathbf{A}}(R)$ and, eventually, $aF_{\mathbf{A}}(R)t_1(a,b,b) = t(a,b,b)$.

Exactly in the same way, by considering the homomorphism $\psi : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{A}$ satisfying $\psi(x) = a$, $\psi(y) = a$, and $\psi(z) = b$, we get $t(a,a,b) = t_2(a,a,b)G_{\mathbf{A}}(R)b$.

Thus t is Mal′cev modulo F and G for every algebra in $\mathcal{V}$, and we have closed our second cycle of equivalencies.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (viii) follows from Theorem 1(ix).

(viii) $\Rightarrow$ (ix) $\Rightarrow$ (x) are trivial.

(x) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Since xSy, then, by assumption, $(x,y) \in F_{\mathbf{X}}(S) \circ S^- \circ G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$. This means that there are binary terms t_1 and t_2 such that $(x, t_1(x,y)) \in F_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$, $(t_1(x,y), t_2(x,y)) \in S^-$, and $(t_2(x,y), y) \in G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)$.

That $(t_1(x,y), t_2(x,y)) \in S^-$ means that there is a ternary term t such that $t_1(x,y) = t(x,y,y)$ and $t(x,x,y) = t_2(x,y)$, since $S = \{(u(x,x,y), u(x,y,y)) | u \text{ a term of } \mathbf{X}\}$ (cf., e.g., the proof of Theorem 1 (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) in Part II, or the proof of (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) here).

Thus, $xF_{\mathbf{X}}(S)t_1(x,y) = t(x,y,y)$, $t(x,x,y) = t_2(x,y)G_{\mathbf{X}}(S)y$, that is, the hypotheses of (iv) are satisfied. $\square$

References

[L1] Paolo Lipparini, *Commutator theory without join-distributivity*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **346** (1994), no. 1, 177–202. MR **MR1257643 (95c:**08009)

[L2] ———, *A characterization of varieties with a difference term II. Neutral = meet semi-distributive*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin. Bulletin Canadien de Mathématiques **41** (1998), no. 3, 318–327. MR **MR1637665 (2000a:**08021)

[L3] ——— *Towards commutator theory for relations*, arxiv:math.GM/0507039; *II*, GM/0509233 (2005).

Dipartimento di Matematica, Viale della Ricerca Scientifica, II Universitade di Roma (Tor Vergata), ROME ITALY

E-mail address: lipparin@axp.mat.uniroma2.it

URL: http://www.mat.uniroma2.it/~lipparin